

Review Form2

BookName:	CurrentResearchProgressinAgriculturalSciences
ManuscriptNumber:	Ms_BPR_2387
Titleof theManuscript:	CompleteMechanizationofOilSeedCropCultivationtoAugmentthePotentialityof yellow RevolutioninIndia:AReview
TypeoftheArticle	BookChapter

PART1: Review Comments

CompulsoryREVISIONcomments	Reviewer’scomment	Author’s Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that partinthemanuscript.Itismandatorythatauthorsshould writehis/herfeedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importanceofthismanuscriptforthescientificcommunity.Whydoyou like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4sentencesmayberequiredfor thispart.	This manuscript provides valuable insights into the current state of oilseed production, particularly inIndia, where the gap between supply and demand for edible oils is significant. The detailed exploration ofmechanization in oilseed farming is particularly noteworthy, as it highlights the benefits of reducing laborcosts, increasing productivity, and enhancing resource efficiency. The manuscript effectively underscoresthe importance of mechanization in bridging the yield gap of major oilseed crops, thus contributing to thebroader goal of achieving self-reliance in edible oil production. Overall, the manuscript is a significantcontributiontothescientificcommunity,offeringvaluableknowledgeforresearchersandp ractitioners alike.	
Isthetitleofthearticlesuitable? (Ifnotpleasesuggestanalternativetitle)	The title of your article, "Complete Mechanization of Oil Seed Crop Cultivation to Augment thePotentialityofYellow RevolutioninIndia:AREview,"islargelyappropriateforthecontentofthearticle. However,thetitlecanbeadjustedasfollows "Advancing Mechanization in Oilseed Crop Cultivation: Boosting India's Yellow Revolution Potential – AComprehensiveReview" Or "MechanizationinOilseedCropCultivation:BoostingIndia'sYellowRevolutionPotential– AComprehensiveReview"	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do yousuggesttheaddition(ordelation)ofsomepoints inthissection?Please writeyour suggestionshere.	The abstract covers several important aspects of the study, but it could be enhanced with additional detailandclaritytomake itmorecomprehensive. SuggestedEnhancements: 1. -Mentionspecificresearchquestionsorhypothesesifapplicable.Thiswouldprovideclarity onwhatthestudyaimed toaddressor uncover. 2. - Includeasummaryofthekeyfindingsorconclusionsofthestudy.Thiswillgivereadersasenseofw hatnewinsightsor evidence thereviewprovides. 3. -Expandontheimplicationsofthefindings.Forexample,how exactlyshouldfarmersadoptthesemechanizationtechniques, andwhat arethe expected outcomes?	
Aresubsectionsandstructureofthemanuscri ptappropriate?	The manuscript's subsections and structure appear appropriate for a detailed technical paper on oilseedcrop mechanization. It is logically organized, beginning with an introduction that establishes the global andIndian context of oilseed production and the need for mechanization. This is followed by specific sectionsforeachcrop(sesame,groundnut,castor,sunflower),coveringfieldpreparation,sowing,wee dmanagement, harvest,andrelevantmechanizedprocesses.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientificcorrectnessofthismanuscript.Whydoyo uthinkthatthis manuscript is scientifically robust and technicallysound?A minimumof3-4sentences mayberequired forthispart.	Overall,themanuscriptisawell-structuredandscientificallysoundreview thateffectivelycommunicatesthebenefitsandchallengesofmechanizationinoilseedcultivation.	
Arethereferencesufficientandrecent? Ifyouhavesuggestions of additional references, please mentiontheminthe reviewform.	Thereferencesarequitesufficient,Ihaveonlyoneremark:Reference80Idonotfinditinthetextofthearticl e. Authorstonote thisandmake corrections.	

Review Form2

MinorREVISIONcomments Isthelanguage/Englishqualityofthearticlesuitab leforscholarlycommunications?	TheEnglishlanguageandqualityofthetextinthearticlehaveseveralareasthatneedimproveme nttoensureitmeets scholarly communicationstandards.Belowaresomespecificsuggestionsforrevision: Section2(Sesame): - Original:"Accordingto[10]Sesame(Sesamumindicum)isaPedaliaceaefamily." - Revised:"Accordingto[10],Sesame(Sesamumindicum)belongstothePedaliaceaefamily." - Original:"Sesameisalso as'Till'or'Gingelly'andisoneoftheoldestcropsthatyieldsoil."referredto - Revised:"Sesame, alsoknownas'Til'or'Gingelly,'isoneoftheoldestoilseedcrops." - Checkforconsistentuseoftechnicaltermsandcorrectanyinconsistenciesinmeasurement sorterminology. By addressing these language and stylistic issues, the article will better meet the standards expected inscholarlycommunications. It is recommended torevisethe text for clarity, accuracy, and coherence,ensuringthat it isaccessibletoa scholarlyaudience.	
Optional/Generalcomments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s comment(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Galya Hristova
Department, University & Country	Trakian University, Bulgaria