

Review Form2

Book Name:	Pharmaceutical Research: Recent Advances and Trends
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BPR_2409
Title of the Manuscript:	A Review of Ethnopharmacology of the Commonly used Antimalarial Herbal Agents for Traditional Medicine Practice in Ethiopia
Type of the Article	Book chapter

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s Feedback(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimumof3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This manuscript is important for the scientific community because it highlights the potential of traditional medicinal plants in developing novel antimalarial therapies, especially in regions where malaria remains a significant public health challenge. By documenting the ethnopharmacological uses, phytochemistry, and safety profiles of these plants, the manuscript provides a valuable resource for researchers seeking alternative treatments to combat multidrug-resistant malaria strains. This manuscript because it bridges traditional knowledge with modern scientific research, offering a comprehensive overview that could lead to new discoveries in antimalarial drug development	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract of the article provides a general overview of the topic by highlighting the importance of malaria as a public health issue, the challenges posed by resistance to current treatments, and the potential of traditional medicines in Ethiopia for antimalarial drug discovery. However, there are a few areas where improvements could be made to make the abstract more comprehensive and informative: 1. Clarify the Scope and Purpose 2. Mention Key Findings or Insights 3. Emphasize the Significance: While the abstract mentions the potential for drug discovery, it could be strengthened by elaborating on the significance of these findings for the global fight against malaria, particularly in the context of increasing drug resistance.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimumof3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The manuscript appears to be scientifically robust and technically sound as it provides a thorough review of the ethnopharmacological activities, phytochemistry, and safety of various antimalarial herbal agents used in Ethiopia and surrounding regions. It draws on a wide range of studies, including those focused on the efficacy and safety of medicinal plants, thereby offering a comprehensive understanding of their potential in antimalarial drug discovery. The inclusion of specific examples of plants and their active compounds, as well as the discussion of both traditional knowledge and modern scientific findings, enhances the manuscript's credibility. Additionally, the manuscript effectively addresses the pressing issue of drug resistance in malaria, which underscores the relevance and importance of its findings in the current scientific landscape.	

Review Form2

Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Yes, but recent references are not included 1. Gurmu AE, Kisi T, Shibru H, Graz B, Willcox M. Treatments used for malaria in young Ethiopian children: a retrospective study. <i>Malar J.</i> 2018;17:451.	
Minor REVISION comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Need corrections	
Optional/General comments	Please check Spacing issues, Add some tables and pictures	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Gana Manjusha Kondepudi
Department, University & Country	Vignan Institute of Pharamceutical Technology, India