

Review Form2

Book Name:	Disease and Health Research: New Insights
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BPR_2495
Title of the Manuscript:	Knowledge, Attitude, and Perceived Barriers among healthcare workers regarding the usages of Thermo-Luminescent Dosimeter Badge: A Cross-Sectional Survey
Type of the Article	Book chapter

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimumof 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The manuscript addresses a theme that will always remain topical: the protection against radiological risks of medical and health personnel. The manuscript reveals the fact that the staff of the radiology services, as well as the doctors who practice interventions under the X-ray screen, neglect the wearing of dosimeters and, in general, the radiation protection measures. I hope that this manuscript will help radiologists and other specialists who are exposed to ionizing radiation to understand the importance of taking preventive measures.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	YES	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	YES	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	YES	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimumof 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This manuscript is scientifically sound. The theoretical part is based on a recent and rich bibliography, and the own research used a solid statistical apparatus. The questionnaires were validated by 2 experts, and the ethical requirements were respected.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form. :	YES	

Review Form2

Minor REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	YES	
Optional/General comments	-	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Boariu Dan-loan
Department, University & Country	Emergency University Hospital Bucharest, Romania