

Review Form2

Book Name:	Disease and Health Research: New Insights
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BPR_2495
Title of the Manuscript:	Knowledge, Attitude, and Perceived Barriers among healthcare workers regarding the usages of Thermo-Luminescent Dosimeter Badge: A Cross-Sectional Survey
Type of the Article	Book chapter

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s Feedback(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimumof 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Provides the importance of the effects of ionizing radiation due to high exposure. The paper also provides a good sound methodology. Given the methodology, the results were clearly stated.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	No suggestion	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimumof 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The manuscript sets out with a clear objective to assess the knowledge, attitude, and barriers regarding the use of Thermo-luminescent dosimeter (TLD) devices among healthcare personnel. This objective is relevant in the context of radiation safety, a critical area in healthcare settings, particularly within radiology departments. The results are well-documented, with a breakdown of participants by role (technicians, physicians, and nurses) and their respective knowledge and attitudes towards radiation safety and the use of TLD badges.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form. :	Although the references are somewhat recent, there should be more references.	

Review Form2

Minor REVISION comments	Yes	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s comment(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Saanjaana Rahman
Department, University & Country	Cornell University, Saudi Arabia