

Review Form2

Book Name:	Chemical and Materials Sciences: Developments and Innovations
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BPR_2497
Title of the Manuscript:	The Use of Cadmium in Industrial Segments Leaves a Trail of Contaminations in Humans, Health and the Environment
Type of the Article	Complete Book

PART 1: Review Comments

<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimumof3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	- Scientific research had revolutionary in its nature. - This article could help to research alternative to Cadmium for industrial use. - Researches on Human Health, Environment & Scientific inventions could help out to regulate safety for all.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Title of the article is suitable.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	- For such a big article abstract must have to cover more points. - Step wise addition of article's comaparative gist could do justice for the readers.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Subsections and structure of the manuscript is appropriate.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimumof3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	- Scientifically this manuscript is correct and its a need of an hour to do research on these topics. - Reseaercher(s) should pay attention to some technical points (as far as the writing of article/manuscript is concerned).	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form. :	- References are rich in numbers. - References are combination of hard copy, periodical/journals & online. - Using more number of references show the interest and research work done by the researcher(s).	

Review Form2

Minor REVISION comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications.	
Optional/General comments	- Researcher(s) must add some sections viz: Aim/objectives of the research, Hypothesis of the research, Research Methodolog or Reviewe of Litrature for huge referencing for one article, Suggestion/recommendations apart from conclusion could be more fruitful and attractive for the readers. - Appreciation for the researcher(s) for study, huge referencing and selection of the topic for research. - If it requires; hope another articles would be in continuation for such a topicinfront of the readers.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Mohammed Viqaruddin
Department, University & Country	India