

Review Form2

Book Name:	Business, Management and Economics: Research Progress
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BPR_2537
Title of the Manuscript:	Analysis of the Rice Value Chain in Bobonaro Municipality, Tmor-Leste
Type of the Article	Book Chapter

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimumof 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	-	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The contents did not reflect the title. The author only considered rice producers and neglected other actors of rice value chain (consumers, processors and marketers) Assessing Efficiency and Value Chain Performance in Rice Production: Insights from Bobonaro Municipality	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The following information are missing in the abstract i. Type of data utilized for the study not mentioned ii. Instrument of data collection not mentioned iii. Sampling technique not appropriately captured iv. Signs and level of significance of the parameters of the regression model not captured v. Recommendation and conclusion not mentioned in the abstract vi. Efficiency results obtained from DEA not captured in the abstract	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimumof 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	i. The specific objectives of the research paper is completely different from the results presented ii. Poorly written methodology (Check the tenses used in drafting this section, poor sampling procedure etc) iii. Some of the regression parameters (explanatory variables) are vague. Also, measurement of the explanatory variables was not captured completely iv. efficiency results from DEA not appropriately discussed v. A lot of grammatical errors and inconsistency in the report writing	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form. =	Yes but not very recent	

Review Form2

Minor REVISION comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	No.	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s comment(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Abubakar Salihu
Department, University & Country	Modibbo Adama University, Nigeria