

Review Form2

Book Name:	Scientific Research, New Technologies and Applications
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BPR_2552
Title of the Manuscript:	Static foam and its properties
Type of the Article	Book Chapter

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s Feedback(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimumof 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The manuscript is considered important as it highlights new findings in the static properties of foam with and without TiO2-NPs. The role of NPs in static foam and liquid drainage were discussed in terms of formability and foaming power. Foam stability increases in the presence of NPs and its life can be prolong by providing acidic environment to the foam.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Static properties of TiO2-NPs-filled foam.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	My suggestion: An abstract should provide a quick overview of the manuscript and its organization (Problem → Objective → Method → Results / Conclusion). Authors are suggested to refine the ‘problem’ and ‘objective’ of the work to be more explicit..	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes, I think it is sufficient for a book chapter.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimumof 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	I can say, there are many papers regarding TiO2-NPs filled foam have been publishing. This indicates a high level of interest in this field. In terms of methodology, it is consistent with current practiced by other researchers. As for the results section, the findings depict general aim for that area.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form. :-	Better if authors can find 1 or 2 papers which are heavily referred by other researcher in the field.	

Review Form2

Minor REVISION comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes.	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s comment(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Hafizan Hashim
Department, University & Country	Universiti Teknologi MARA, Malaysia