

Review Form2

Book Name:	Pharmaceutical Research: Recent Advances and Trends
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BPR_2556
Title of the Manuscript:	Phytosome: A promising therapeutic approach as nanomedicine
Type of the Article	Book chapter

PART 1: Review Comments

<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimumof 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Manuscript is based on nao drug delivery system. So it is novelty in manuscript. But revision is required.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Alternative title: Phytosome as Nanomedicine:Revolutionizing Herbal Drug Delivery	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Abstract is good. There is no problem .	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	No, tis par is revision need. 1. Introduction 2. Phytosomes: Structural Components 3. Preparation and evaluation of Phytosomes 4. Advantage and disadvantage of phytosome 5. Therpeutic application of phytosome 6. Conclusion 7. References	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimumof 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Reference update is needed in this manuscript. 2022, 2023 and 2024 study are not included in this manuscript. Please update the manuscript with recent study of in these years.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	No, references are very less and not recent. Author is needed to include references of 2022, 2023 and 2024. I think references should write according to guidelines.	

Review Form2

Minor REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Language is good.	
Optional/General comments	Overall revision is required.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s comment(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Aziz Ahmed
Department, University & Country	Jaipur College of Pharmacy, India