Review Form2

Book Name:	**A Text Book Of Herbal Drug Technology (As per PCI syllabus)**
Manuscript Number:	**Ms_BPR_2597**
Title of the Manuscript:	**A Text Book Of Herbal Drug Technology (As per PCI syllabus)**
Type of the Article	**Complete Book**

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	**Reviewer's comment**	**Author's Feedback***(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimumof 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	**The study is a good research product and can be enriched a little more. It is also recommended to use visual images. In addition, it is necessary to review spelling errors such as section titles should be at the top of the page.**	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	**Yes.**	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	**Comprehensive summary. It is thought that some points will be more clearly expressed and useful to the user. Visual images can be used.**	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	**It is suitable.**	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimumof 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	**The information expressed in the sections has been obtained from different sources. Each study creates its own unique value. The authors have also demonstrated this unique value.**	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form. -	**- references are current and sufficient.**	

Review Form2

Minor REVISION comments **Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?**	**The study is a good research product and can be enriched a little more. It is also recommended to use visual images. In addition, it is necessary to review spelling errors such as section titles should be at the top of the page.**	
Optional/Generalcomments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment***(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	*(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)*	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	**Ferudun Kocer**
Department, University & Country	**Kahramanmaras Sutcu Imam University, Turkey**