

Review Form2

Book Name:	Medicine and Medical Research: New Perspectives
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BPR_2123
Title of the Manuscript:	Seroprevalence of Anti-SARS-CoV-2 Antibodies in Healthcare Workers
Type of the Article	Book chapter

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimumof 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The topic of this manuscript is important in several ways: 1- Its result can apply not only to the case of Covid-19, but also to all cases of infectious diseases or the transmission of diseases from a patient to a health worker and vice versa. 2- It shows the importance of personal protective equipment and its impact on the transmission of diseases to people.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes, the topic is appropriate. However, there are no association between topic as a main question and its conclusion!!!	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Yes, In my perspective, the abstract is comprehensive, it was written great.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	The abstract is very well written. But what is written in the abstract is not consistent with the main content of the article. The abstract should be a showcase of the text of the article. In addition, the structure of the abstract is not followed, including: background, method, discussion and conclusion.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimumof 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Considering its title, this manuscript needs fundamental correction. The type of article is not specified. If it is a review article that part 4 of this manuscript needs a more complete explanation and some things are not mentioned in it, for example: - What types of serological tests have been taken from health workers? - What sections of the department have been the target for this investigation? - What type of health worker was tested (nurse, anesthesiologist, doctor, etc.) - What personal protective equipment is used according to the type of department? But on the other hand, if the article was original, you should follow the structure of orignal article, including: introduction, method, discussion and conclusion. (according to the fact that you stated in the abstract, the serological results were significant, it indicates the originality of the work. Also, this statistic and significance that you mentioned should be included in the text! What variables have been measured for significance? Which is nowhere the text of this is not visible.) Introduction: Not referenced. It should be written more fully. Part 2 and 3 of the article should be summarized and connected	

Review Form2

	to the introduction. In summary, in the introduction section, you should answer the question "why" and explain your reasons for choosing that topic for research, its importance, your research methods or approaches, etc. In this section, you also provide some background information and set the context for describing the problem or research question. At the beginning of the introduction, it is better to talk in general terms and explain the details and the main topic of the research gradually. In this section, you should describe the research and results obtained in the past, the shortcomings, and then the method used to write the paper. The last paragraph of the introduction section outlines the general structure of the paper and the topics to be discussed in the following sections. Method: 1. Specify your article type? 2. Specify the type of serological test that you received from the health worker to determine the antibody or that they checked? 3. What parts have been studied? 4. What personal protective equipment is used according to the type of department?	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Yes. Thst is good.	
Minor REVISION comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes, the quslity of English is appropriate for scientific community.	
Optional/General comments	My suggestion is to determine the type of article first so that its written structure can be determined based on that. After that, the title of the article and the abstract do not match with the written content of the article and are very incomplete. I leave the following link for inspiration: https://bmjopen.bmj.com/content/11/2/e043584	

PART 2:

	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s comment(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Motahareh Ghodrati
Department, University & Country	Qom University of Medical Sciences, Iran