

[Review Form2](#)

Book Name:	Pharmaceutical Research: Recent Advances and Trends
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BPR_2271
Title of the Manuscript:	HONEY AS A NEUTRACEUTICAL : A Review
Type of the Article	Book Chapter

PART 1: Review Comments

<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Manuscript is nicely written and well organised explaining importance of Honey as Neutraceuticals but the manuscript must be supported with some tables, diagrams, figures or photographs wherever possible. I like this manuscript contentwise as it is good which can be further more better with the mentioned corrections.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes, title is suitable no further corrections are needed.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Yes, it's comprehensive and no need to add or subtract any point, it's perfect.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Chapter is mainly focused on importance of honey, in addition to that its standard chemical composition with chemical structures can be included. Conclusion part can be added to make it more effective.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	As chapter is mainly dealing with the importance of honey which is the theoretical information collected from the available research papers and also based on practical utilization of honey its scientifically and technically can be considered as ok.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form. =	Yes, references are sufficient.	

Review Form2

Minor REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes, except one sentence needs to correct from the subsection 1.3 Weight and Metabolism Management “In some research Rafie et al. (2018) and Gohar et al., (2020), honey has anti-obesity effects” And mentioned full form of LDL and HDL at appropriate place. .	
Optional/General comments	With minor changes chapter can be considered for publication.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s comment(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Sanjivani S. Panditkar
Department, University & Country	Yeshwantrao Chavan College of Engineering, India