

Review Form2

Book Name:	Medicine and Medical Research: New Perspectives
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BPR_2273
Title of the Manuscript:	Nevus lipomatosis cutaneous superficialis (NLCS) - A case series of four cases of this rare dermal anomaly
Type of the Article	Book chapter

PART 1: Review Comments

<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimumof 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Interesting series of an unusual hamartoma. The script seems to have been written for a journal as a case series rather than a book chapter. There are no clinical or histopath images attached. No mention of IHC, if done. Hence, cannot be given high priority.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes. It is suitable as a journal article.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	No.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes. To a large extent. Key words were not found.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimumof 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	For a case series, text seems adequate. It lacks clinical and histopath images to support the diagnosis. A note on dermoscopic findings would be good to add.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form. =	Yes. Adequate.	

Review Form2

Minor REVISION comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Requires corrections at few places.	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s comment(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Vijay Venkatraj Aithal
Department, University & Country	St John’s Medical College, Rajiv Gandhi University of Health Sciences, India