

Review Form2

Book Name:	Mathematics and Computer Science - Contemporary Developments
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BPR_2140
Title of the Manuscript:	Mathematical Analysis of Fractional Order HIV Infection of CD4+T Cells Model
Type of the Article	Book chapter

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimumof 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Author's have studied the nonlinear mathematical model and obtained series solution using FDTM which is matching with the existing solution and also discussed the stability analysis by using Lyapunov direct method. This is a novel approach for solving nonlinear problem.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	yes	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Abstract is okay	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Subsections 5 and 6 on page number 7 to be shifted after tables and figures.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimumof 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Mathematical work is good. Need to discuss the physical interpretation of the numerical and graphical solution. Include this in conclusion. Need to conclude in biological terms.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form. =	References are sufficient but Need to include more recent references related to the research work done in last three years.	

Review Form2

Minor REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Seems to be okay	
Optional/General comments	Overall work is good and acceptable for publication after minor revision as mentioned in the comments.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s comment(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Amit Parikh
Department, University & Country	G D Modi Vidya Sankul, India