

Review Form2

Book Name:	Medicine and Medical Research: New Perspectives
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BPR_2191
Title of the Manuscript:	Behavioral Activation Therapy in the Treatment of Depression: Effectiveness, Advantages, and Improvements
Type of the Article	Book chapter

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimumof 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The manuscript titled "Behavioral Activation Therapy in the Treatment of Depression: Effectiveness, Advantages, and Improvements" is highly valuable to the scientific community. It delves into an important and emerging treatment method for depression, offering a thorough review of 66 studies. The research highlights the benefits of Behavioral Activation Therapy (BAT) over traditional CBT, emphasizing its cost-effectiveness, simplicity, and comparable efficacy. I find this manuscript compelling because it not only broadens the understanding of depression treatments but also suggests practical, accessible alternatives for clinical use.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The current title, "Behavioral Activation Therapy in the Treatment of Depression: Effectiveness, Advantages, and Improvements," is appropriate and effectively captures the essence of the study. It succinctly conveys the key themes and focus areas of the research.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract is comprehensive and clearly outlines the study's focus on BAT for depression. To further enhance its quality, consider adding specific selection criteria for the reviewed papers and highlighting key statistical findings. Including details on BAT's practical benefits, such as cost-effectiveness and ease of implementation, would provide added value. The abstract effectively captures the research and can be made even more impactful with these additions.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	The subsections and overall structure of the manuscript are appropriate and contribute to its clarity. Dividing the content into distinct sections such as introduction, research progress, and innovative applications allows for a systematic exploration of Behavioral Activation Therapy (BAT). Each subsection is well-defined, ensuring that the reader can easily navigate through the different facets of the study. To further improve the structure, consider adding a summary section at the end of each major part to reinforce key points. The current organization effectively supports the manuscript's content.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimumof 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This manuscript demonstrates scientific rigor and technical accuracy through its comprehensive review. The research methodology is clearly outlined, lending credibility to the findings. The detailed statistical analysis and evidence-based comparisons between BAT and CBT underscore the reliability of the conclusions. Furthermore, the practical insights offered are based on well-supported data, highlylghting the manuscript's relevance and applicability in the field of depression treatment.	

Review Form2

Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form. :	The references cited in the manuscript are adequate and up-to-date, encompassing a wide range of relevant research. This extensive review of 66 studies offers a robust foundation for the discussion. However, incorporating a few more recent publications from the past couple of years could enhance the manuscript by including the latest insights and findings.	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The language and English quality of the article are appropriate for scholarly communication. The manuscript is written clearly and concisely, with well-structured sentences and accurate use of academic terminology. There are no significant grammatical issues, and the ideas are presented in a logical and coherent manner.	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	The manuscript is well-organized and provides valuable insights into Behavioral Activation Therapy for depression. The comprehensive review of literature and clear presentation of findings enhance its impact. Consider including recent studies to further strengthen the evidence base. The study is well-executed and offers significant contributions to the understanding of Behavioral Activation Therapy for depression. However, minor revisions, such as incorporating the latest research findings and refining some sections for clarity, would enhance its impact. The manuscript is of high quality and merits minor revisions before publication.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Petro Petlovanyi
Department, University & Country	University of Zambia, Zambia