

Review Form 2

Book Name:	Medicine and Medical Research: New Perspectives
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BPR_2191
Title of the Manuscript:	Behavioral Activation Therapy in the Treatment of Depression: Effectiveness, Advantages, and Improvements
Type of the Article	Book chapter

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Considering the increase in mental health disorders worldwide, the importance of these practices for those who reject medication treatment or cannot access it is significant, and they should be implemented in clinical settings	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The scope of the text can be further expanded. For example, the summary mentions that 66 studies were reviewed. Looking at these studies, is there a specific treatment protocol for the applications? If so, including a table that shows which activities are prominent in the studies, which diagnoses are targeted, and what specific applications are used would help in better understanding the topic."	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The application has been a focus of interest in the literature for many years. However, for scientific rigor, more randomized and meta-analysis studies should be added to the literature. When I first read the summary, I thought it was a systematic review (as it mentioned that 66 studies were reviewed). However, it turned out to be a traditional evaluation study. If this aspect had been considered, it would have been more beneficial for readers. In conclusion, it is a valid topic, and the article will attract the attention of readers	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	You mention that 66 studies were reviewed, but there are only 56 studies listed in the references. I did not understand this point."	

Review Form 2

Minor REVISION comments	Yes	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?		
Optional/General comments	---	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Yasemin Ucun
Department, University & Country	Turkey