

Review Form2

Book Name:	Mathematics and Computer Science: Contemporary Developments
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BPR_2323
Title of the Manuscript:	A note on the n-th smallest term for any finite sequence of real numbers
Type of the Article	Book chapter

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimumof 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Recursive methods, MAX-MIN methods, and MIN-MAX methods, and compare their computational efficiency is a novel topic for the scientific community. It has also a vast application area in different engineering applications.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	No the title A note on the n-th smallest term for any finite sequence of real numbersis not a suitable title for any book chapter. Suggested topic name is: THE N-TH SMALLEST TERM FOR ANY FINITE SEQUENCE OF REAL NUMBERS	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	A brief description must be added in the abstract so that the reader can understand the importance of the chapter.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimumof 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	1.The efficiency comparison really depends on the specific problem being solved and the implementation details of each approach. Is the statement the Recursive Method has a higher computational cost than the MAX-MIN and MIN-MAX is applicable for all application area. 2. Is their any limitation of this approach 3. Some of the application must be added so that reader can expand the work. 4. Though must include some recent reference papers.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form. :	No the reference papers are not recent.	

Review Form2

Minor REVISION comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	yes	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s comment(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Lalit Mohan Satapathy
Department, University & Country	SOA Deemed to be University, India