

Review Form2

Book Name:	Medicine and Medical Research: New Perspectives
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BPR_2328
Title of the Manuscript:	Epidermoid Cyst of the Testis: A Rare Case Report
Type of the Article	Book Chapter

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s Feedback(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimumof 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This manuscript on "Epidermoid Cyst of the Testis: A Rare Case Report" is valuable for the scientific community as it sheds light on a rare and often overlooked condition. By detailing the clinical presentation, diagnosis, and management of an epidermoid cyst in the testis, it contributes to the limited body of literature on this topic, helping to enhance understanding and awareness among healthcare professionals. I appreciate the manuscript for its clear and concise presentation of a rare case, which can guide clinicians in recognizing and appropriately managing similar cases in the future. This report is a significant addition to the existing knowledge, filling a gap in the literature on testicular pathology.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Epidermoid Cyst of the Testis: Unveiling a Rare and Uncommon Diagnosis"	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract is well-written and provides a clear overview of the case, including the clinical presentation, diagnostic process, treatment, and histopathological findings. However, a few improvements can be made to enhance its comprehensiveness: Clarify the significance of the findings: It would be beneficial to briefly mention how this case contributes to existing knowledge or what makes it particularly noteworthy. For instance, you could highlight that this case reinforces the importance of considering benign conditions in the differential diagnosis of testicular masses. Add a mention of the outcome: Including a brief statement about the patient's post-surgical outcome could provide closure and relevance to the clinical implications discussed. Streamline the information: While the abstract is detailed, a slightly more concise summary of the key points could make it more accessible.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	- a single ultrasound image seems sufficient to avoid cluttering the manuscript - the biological and imaging assessments are too detailed, we need to focus on the essentials - you have to add the discussion chapter	

Review Form2

Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound, as it adheres to the principles of accurate diagnosis and appropriate clinical management, which are crucial in cases of rare testicular conditions. The detailed account of the patient's presentation, diagnostic imaging, and laboratory results is consistent with best practices in urological and oncological assessment, ensuring the reliability of the findings. The histopathological confirmation of the epidermoid cyst, along with the clear differentiation from malignant testicular masses, underscores the manuscript's scientific correctness. Additionally, the manuscript highlights the significance of organ-sparing surgery, demonstrating a comprehensive understanding of the clinical implications and potential outcomes, which further validates its technical soundness.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form. -	1. Clinical analysis of 23 cases of epidermoid cyst of testis in children. Tao J, Chen F, Chen X, Liu J. <i>Pediatr Surg Int.</i> 2024 Jul 2;40(1):165. doi: 10.1007/s00383-024-05750-9. PMID: 38954009. 2. Temporal maturation of testicular epidermoid on sonography. Han Y, Bekkers C, Schollenberg E, Ahmad T. <i>Pediatr Radiol.</i> 2024 May;54(6):1055-1056. doi: 10.1007/s00247-024-05917-5. Epub 2024 Mar 27. PMID: 38532204 No abstract available. 3. Paratesticular Dermoid Cyst Mimicking a Torsed Supernumerary Testis: A Case Report. Betancourt-Torres M, Figueroa-Diaz L, Rodriguez-Mojica W. <i>Am J Case Rep.</i> 2020 Oct 18;21:e923752. doi: 10.12659/AJCR.923752. 4. Unusual presentation of epidermoid cyst from testis in an aging male. Akan S, Uruç F. <i>Aging Male.</i> 2020 Dec;23(5):879-881. doi: 10.1080/13685538.2019.1613358. Epub 2019 May 14. PMID: 31084396	
Minor REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?		
Optional/General comments	A discussion chapter is mandatory.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Chatar Achraf
Department, University & Country	Cadi Ayyad University, Morocco