

Review Form2

Book Name:	Pharmaceutical Research: Recent Advances and Trends
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BPR_2338
Title of the Manuscript:	Migraine prophylaxis: Efficacy and safety of lisinopril
Type of the Article	Book chapter

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimumof 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This article can open the way to propose a new prophylactic stratigies of migraine. It can help supporting the hypothesis of endothelial origin of migraine. The replacement of Propranolol with lisinopril proposed by the current study brings the benefit of high tolerability regarding adverse reactions.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes, an interesting and capturing title with compact and accurate description.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Yes, with one exception, the mention of checking the adverse reaction of lisinopril was relatively over-simplified. As a suggestion the paragraph in MaterialsandMethods may include that the adverse drug reactions experienced by the patients were recorded in specified section of the diary.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimumof 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The manuscript detailed a clear, reproducible methodology with appropriate controls. The results presented in clear tables. The discussion interprets the results in the context of the study results. The limitations were presented clearly. Finally the conclusions support the study significance and potential impact.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form. :	Yes, However I may suggest the following reference that supports the justification of the use of ACE inhibitor (lisinopril) in the current study: Study the biochemical and molecular role of angiotensin converting enzyme in migraine and non-migraine headache. (2020). International Journal of Pharmaceutical Research, 12(02). https://doi.org/10.31838/ijpr/2020.12.02.0049	

Review Form2

Minor REVISION comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s comment(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Ahmed Faisal Obed
Department, University & Country	University of Babylon, Hammurabi College of Medicine, Iraq