

Review Form2

Book Name:	Mathematics and Computer Science: Contemporary Developments
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BPR_2340
Title of the Manuscript:	CONSERVATION OF FORESTRY BIOMASS AND WILDLIFE POPULATION: A MATHEMATICAL MODEL
Type of the Article	Book chapter

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s Feedback(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimumof 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Maintaining healthy forests supports the foundations for people, wildlife and ecosystems to thrive. Investments in forests benefit people, economies and our planet. The forest sector accounts for 1% of global employment, employing more than 33 million people. In addition to providing jobs, forest landscapes provide the wood, fibre, fodder and energy that are needed for broader economic activity. They are also important for food security and provide a social safety net for rural communities. Well-managed forests are a powerful tool to help end poverty on a livable planet, especially since more than 90% of people living in extreme poverty depend on forests for their livelihoods. Forest landscapes also cover more than 80% of the area occupied by indigenous peoples, many of whom see forests as a source of livelihood, food production and cultural identity. Yet forests continue to face threats.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	yes	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	yes. the article is comprehensive	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	yes	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimumof 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This academic essay has a clear introduction with a summary of the essay and a clear purpose. In addition to a logical, organized outline for the essay. Paragraphs with a logical and systematic sequence, including supporting evidence from reliable academic sources, and a clear conclusion that summarizes the topic of the essay and the purpose for which it was written.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form. :-	yes	

Review Form2

Minor REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	yes	
Optional/General comments	No comments	

PART 2:

	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s comment(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Ameer Musa Imran Alhseeni
Department, University & Country	Iraq