

Review Form2

Book Name:	Medicine and Medical Research: New Perspectives
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BPR_2450
Title of the Manuscript:	The Pediatric Dental Pyramid: A Comprehensive Model for Successful Child Patient Care
Type of the Article	Book chapter

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimumof 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The manuscript showed the importance of the connection of several factors/partners for the successful treatment of children, as the most vulnerable population. The biggest problem in this matter is the problem of cooperation and lack of awareness about the importance of oral health. The manuscript gives us an insight into how important it is to "distribute" tasks with the goal of optimal effect. Apart from the listed "collaborators," we could also add, e.g., gynecologists, visiting nurses, and pediatricians who can help with interdisciplinary work (the preventive part).	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Yes	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimumof 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Introduction- Parents- 2. Point: “use a soft toothbrush and water” ... “as they grow older.. use toothbrush”. Aren't the guidelines in pediatric dentistry advocating the use of toothpaste from the first day of tooth eruption?What exactly does it mean “as they grow older” how old is that?In my opinion, if we give any advice, it is good to give information it as accurately as possible (in second year, third year,...). From 3. to 10. Points are great ideas/advices. At the end of this section (which is intended for parents), it would be good to emphasize the duties and obligations of parents (parents are responsibility for good/poor oral health; parents need education for oral health...) Introduction- Society: well listed cooperation; cooperation with kindergartens could be added. Introduction- Electronic screens: good tips for “updating” dentists; we also have to follow the	

Review Form2

	news. Introduction- Pediatric dentistry: in 8. Point: it would be good add yet psychologist, non-medical staff (kindergarten workers, center for social work...)	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	OK	
Minor REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	English is not my mother tounge and I am not competent to to judge the value of language.	
Optional/General comments	The manuscript beautifully illustrated all the important factors on the way to successful work in pediatric dentistry. In my opinion, the manuscript is well explained; it gives good guidelines to dentists; it could be a good "manual" at work.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Boris Egić
Department, University & Country	Slovenia