

Review Form2

Book Name:	Mathematics and Computer Science: Contemporary Developments
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BPR_2473
Title of the Manuscript:	Digital Security: A Critical Evaluation process for IT-Security Products
Type of the Article	Book chapter

PART 1: Review Comments

<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s Feedback(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimumof3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The Manuscripts describes the concept in evaluating IT Security Products Critical Evaluation Process describes Functionality, Effectiveness and Assurance in detail The Abstact is Relevant to the Title.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	It willbe better if the author incorporates how to focus evaluation process with the changing threat environment and how to address emerging modern threats	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimumof3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The Book Chapter clearly states the security evaluation processes and standards. The author should concentrate on updated references, and consistent terminology to enhance its accuracy and readability. Inclusion of more appropriate Figures can be done.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Few More recent references can be added and incorporated inside the Chapter.	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes.	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	The Chapter can be accepted with the above suggestions.	

Review Form2

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	P. Venkadesh
Department, University & Country	V. S. B College of Engineering Technical Campus, Anna University, India