

Review Form2

Book Name:	Medicine and Medical Research: New Perspectives
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BPR_2487
Title of the Manuscript:	Early Onset Colorectal Cancer and The Future Perspective in Multimodality Treatment
Type of the Article	Book chapter

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimumof 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	First of all, I would like to congratulate you on your manuscript, which is extremely interesting for the scientific community because the study of early colorectal cancer is essential to improve diagnosis, understanding of the disease and the development of new therapies. The prospects for the future are promising, thanks to advances in oncology research.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The title is clear, precise, concise, informative and attracts the attention of the researcher.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The manuscript summary is not well structured: 1. Colorcetal cancer screening is a well-known fact 2. The treatment aspects of early colorectal cancer were not raised in the abstract 3. The main findings relating to the topicality of colorectal cancer treatment were not raised 4. The abstract should have a specific conclusion regarding the treatment of early colorectal cancer and future prospects.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	The structure of a scientific manuscript is not rigorous and does not follow a logical order. It is best to cover the following sections: 1. Epidemiolgia of early-onset colorectal cancer 2. Risk factors for colorectal cancer 3. Screening and Diagnostic Strategies 4. Treatment strategies and future prospects	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimumof 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The technical soundness of this manuscript lies in its quality of writing, its compliance with publication standards and in the conformity of the references with the standards in force. However, its potential impact is limited in terms of new and significant contribution in the field of colorectal cancer as well as the results presented do not have significant theoretical or practical implications.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form. =	- In terms of introduction, each idea must have a precise reference. - The references used are not recent, there are quite a few studies published recently on the epidemiology of early-onset colorectal cancer.	

Review Form2

Minor REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The language used is clear, precise and unambiguous.	
Optional/General comments	Abbreviations should be used in the manuscript so as not to repeat the same concepts several times in the body of the manuscript (e.g., colorectal cancer; CCR).	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Amsdar Lahoucine
Department, University & Country	Ibn Zohr University, National School of Applied Sciences, Morocco