

Review Form2

Book Name:	Medicine and Medical Research: New Perspectives
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BPR_2487
Title of the Manuscript:	Early Onset Colorectal Cancer and The Future Perspective in Multimodality Treatment
Type of the Article	Book chapter

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimumof 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This manuscript is important because it sheds light on the alarming rise in early-onset colorectal cancer (CRC). It brings together data on how early-onset CRC differs from later-onset cases and highlights various risk factors, including those from early life. I find this manuscript valuable because it not only reviews current trends but also identifies gaps in our knowledge and suggests directions for future research. However, it could be improved by incorporating more recent research and emerging findings in the field.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The title "Early Onset Colorectal Cancer and The Future Perspective in Multimodality Treatment" is descriptive but could be more specific to capture the focus and scope of the manuscript. While it indicates a discussion on early-onset colorectal cancer (CRC) and multimodal treatment strategies, it doesn't fully convey the manuscript's detailed examination of epidemiological trends, risk factors, and potential future research directions. A revised title could be: "Rising Trends in Early-Onset Colorectal Cancer: Epidemiological Insights and Future Directions in Multimodal Treatment and Prevention".	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract provides a brief overview of the increasing incidence and mortality of early-onset colorectal cancer (CRC), and introduces the two screening methods used for detection: population-based and precision screening. However, the sentences need rephrasing and it could be more comprehensive by incorporating a few additional details: 1. Expand slightly on the reasons behind the rising incidence and mortality rates. Mentioning factors such as lifestyle changes or genetic predispositions could add valuable context. 2. Clarify what specific aspects of the epidemiology and characteristics of early-onset CRC are examined. 3. Please include a brief mention of any significant findings or conclusions from the research, such as trends observed or gaps identified.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	The overall structure seems appropriate based on the provided content.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimumof 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This manuscript appears scientifically robust and technically sound due to its comprehensive analysis of early-onset colorectal cancer (CRC) from multiple angles. The discussion is based on recent epidemiological data, highlighting trends in incidence and mortality across different demographics and geographic regions, which reflects an up-to-date understanding of the disease's progression.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form. :	The references provided are extensive and cover a broad spectrum of recent research on CRC, including epidemiology, risk factors, prevention strategies, and advancements in treatment. The majority of the sources are from reputable journals and are recent whcih is desirable. To further enhance the references you can add studies from 2024, add references that cover recent advances in genomics, molecular biology, or emerging therapeutics and may include concern guidelines.	

Review Form2

Minor REVISION comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The language and English quality of the article are generally suitable for scholarly communication but could benefit from some refinement to enhance clarity and readability. In every instance the full form of colorectal cancer (CRC) should be written. You should mention the full form once and then use the acronym.	
Optional/General comments	This manuscript offers a comprehensive review of early-onset colorectal cancer, focusing on its rising incidence, epidemiology, and evolving multimodality treatment approaches. The analysis is thorough, integrating recent data and advancements in early detection and personalized screening strategies. However, the quality of writing is not up to the mark. The full form of CRC is used every instance, but should be used on first instance only. More focus should be given on this matter.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer’s comment	Author's comment(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Md. Johirul Islam
Department, University & Country	National Institute of Cancer Research & Hospital, Bangladesh