

Review Form2

Book Name:	Medicine and Medical Research: New Perspectives
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BPR_2498
Title of the Manuscript:	Doubts about the correlation between blood glucose values and cellular metabolism
Type of the Article	Book chapter

PART 1: Review Comments

<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s Feedback(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimumof 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The Title of Manuscritentield “Doubts about the correlation between blood glucose values and cellular metabolism”its good. The more importance of the review metabolic characterization of acute, and repetitive, and chronic stress states is a more reliable approach in assessment of cell metabolism.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	No	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?		
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimumof 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	“Authors mentonedThis review aims to reveal additional insight into relation between blood glucose value and cell metabolism, futrhermore to propose a more appropriate approach than blood glucose value for the assessment of cell metabolism.” Its not include in this manuscript any table results. Why? If its [possible search updated journals and include the results. Its easily understandable.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form. =	No	

Review Form2

Minor REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes, Its Suitable.	
Optional/General comments	Recommended to author, Athroughgrammaticalcheck,Space,Commas(,),spellingmistakesmustbeperformed for the entiremanuscript. Like mentined netabolic efficiency in conclutionsActuval word is Metabolic Efficiency . Please correct it.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s comment(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Vijaya Babu Penke
Department, University & Country	India