

Review Form2

Book Name:	Recent Developments in Chemistry and Biochemistry Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BPR_2506
Title of the Manuscript:	Prophylactic and therapeutic agents of acute phosgene gas exposure
Type of the Article	Book Chapter

PART 1: Review Comments

<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimumof 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.		
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)		
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.		
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?		
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimumof 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.		
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form. =		

Review Form2

Minor REVISION comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?		
Optional/General comments	Comments - 1. Abstract -The abstract has to be clearer and more targeted. There is a lot of information in it right now that would be better suited for the introduction or results sections. Provide a more concise summary of the key conclusions and their consequences. 2. Literature Review: Provide specific research to back your statements regarding gaps in current understanding and earlier conclusions. Make that the references are current and applicable. 3. Figures and Tables: Ensure that all figures and tables are referenced in the text and that they complement the narrative. Provide clear captions for all visual elements. 4. Results and Implications: Clearly express the study's key conclusions and how they may affect further research or clinical applications. This will enable readers to understand the work's significance more immediately. 5. Findings and Conversation: Data Presentation: Data Presenting the data clearly and arranging the tables and figures is important. Legends describing the facts and evocative table headers are essential. More information about the statistical techniques utilized to examine the data should be provided, along with an explanation of any significance tests that were conducted and their methodology. Interpretation: Talk about the findings in light of the body of information already in existence and explain why some therapies worked better than others. Deal with any contradictions or surprising discoveries. 6. Conclusions: Provide a clearer summary of the main conclusions and emphasize their significance to the area. Highlight the study's contributions to our knowledge of and ability to control phosgene exposure.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Neelima Yadav
Department, University & Country	Dr C. V. Raman University, India