

Review Form 2

Book Name:	Mathematics and Computer Science: Contemporary Developments
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BPR_2573
Title of the Manuscript:	Optimizing on a Global Scale with Random Search
Type of the Article	Book chapter

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimumof 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	-	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	yes	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Yes good	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	somewhat ok	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimumof 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	good	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form. :	References are not recent and seems to be older papers. Include new references from latest and recent papers related to GA	

Review Form 2

Minor REVISION comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	English quality can be improved.	
Optional/General comments	1. Contents in the Abstract may be improved. 2. A detailed discussions on results and discussions may be included. 3. More references can be added. Also latest research papers may be included. All are very old papers, 4. A detailed literature review by adding more reference papers can be included. 5. Conclusion topic may have detailed discussions. 6. Future scope what is mentioned is already done by many researchers. 7. The alignment is not proper throughout the paper. 8. Check English quality and spelling. 9. Many figures are not cited properly. Please mention the source from which the figures are taken may be mentioned.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s comment(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	P. Sabarinath
Department, University & Country	KLN College of Engineering, India