

Review Form2

Book Name:	Current Perspectives in Agriculture and Food Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BPR_2623
Title of the Manuscript:	Diet, Nutrition and Nutritional Value of the Tribal population in Various States of India: A General Review
Type of the Article	Book chapter

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimumof 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The topic of the article is excellent and possesses a huge value for the scientific community as well as for development sector. Profiling the nutritional status along with the dietary habit of many tribal population of India in a single portrait is aappreaciable concept.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	No, the title is very ambiguous. No precise objective of the study can be understand from the title. It should be like Dietary pattern and its impact on the nutritional status of the Tribal population in Various States of India: A General Review.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	No clear objective of the study is written there. A lot of grammatical mistakes is also there.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	No. Subsections should be given in an organised way. No methodology is there. Review articles also have some methodology to find out the resources and all. Even Heading of the subsections is not appropriate eg. The heading of one subsection exactly similar to title of the manuscript.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimumof 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The concept of the manuscript is so good but it structurally and methodically a lot of corrections is needed there.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form. =	References given are sufficient but some more recent references (of 2023 and 2024) are good to be added here.	

Review Form2

Minor REVISION comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	There are a lot of grammetical mistakes across the manuscript. Sentence construction is also so poor.	
Optional/General comments	It needs major revisions. Authors should specific the objectives of the study. (dietary pattern and its nutritional aspect along with nutritional status). Please organise the article with valid subsections like methodology, dietary pattern with its significant nutrients, nutritional status of the population.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s comment(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Pabitra Paul
Department, University & Country	West Bengal State University, India