

Review Form2

Book Name:	Current Perspectives in Agriculture and Food Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BPR_2623
Title of the Manuscript:	Diet, Nutrition and Nutritional Value of the Tribal population in Various States of India: A General Review
Type of the Article	Book chapter

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimumof 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The manuscript delves on the important association between diet, nutrition and nutritional value of foods among the Tribal population. The issue under review is pertinent as tribal population is seldom covered with respect to their food habits and in particular the importance they give to nutritional value of the food.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	No, major grammatical error! Alternate Title can be: Food Preferences and diet patterns of Tribal Population of India: A review study from a nutrition lens	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	No The abstract is vague and does not cover the sub topics of the review done in the paper. More emphasis should have been done on importance of cultural practices and behavior related factors that influence the food consumption patterns a great deal. Cross cutting factors such as literacy, economic status and access are also given a miss. Grammatically also, there is a need for improvement in framing of abstract. Further the abstract focusses more on giving recommendations rather that pointing out at the findings of the review done.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	It could have been organized better. Subsections as mentioned in previous box can be elaborated upon.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimumof 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The list of references boast a great deal of citations, the same is not reflected in the body of the manuscript. The author has tried to cover the practices of various tribal populations but has failed to give concrete importance on the factors that influence such practices. There is no mention of background theory in this manuscript which becomes an essential part of any review paper.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form. :-	Yes, enough refrences in the list but not reflected in the body of the manuscript	

Review Form2

Minor REVISION comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	It requires a more scientific tone devoid of any grammatical errors.	
Optional/General comments	Thorough rework is needed on making this manuscript better and worthy of publication.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Sunaina Dua
Department, University & Country	Lady Irwin College, University of Delhi, India