

Review Form2

Book Name:	Medicine and Medical Research: New Perspectives
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BPR_2632
Title of the Manuscript:	Examination of the Effective Factors on the Multiple Sclerosis Diseases
Type of the Article	Book chapter

PART 1: Review Comments

<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s Feedback(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimumof 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	-	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	1. The study's findings are limited by the small sample size of only 45 participants, which may not adequately represent the broader population. 2. The research focuses heavily on vitamin D deficiency as a potential risk factor but does not delve deeply enough into other environmental or genetic factors that might contribute to Multiple Sclerosis. 3. The abstract lacks clarity on the specific outcomes or practical applications of the findings, leaving readers with limited insight into how these factors might be addressed in real-world settings	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimumof 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	-	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form. :-	Not Sufficient	

Review Form2

Minor REVISION comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Not sufficient	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Hafiz Muhammad Haseeb Khaliq
Department, University & Country	FCCU, Pakistan