

Review Form2

Book Name:	ENGLISH ORAL COMMUNICATION ACHIEVEMENT OF THE BACHELOR OF ARTS STUDENTS MAJOR IN ENGLISH FOR INTERNATIONAL COMMUNICATION AT RAJAMANGALA UNIVERSITY OF TECHNOLOGY LANNA, TAK CAMPUS, THAILAND
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BPR_2638
Title of the Manuscript:	ENGLISH ORAL COMMUNICATION ACHIEVEMENT OF THE BACHELOR OF ARTS STUDENTS MAJOR IN ENGLISH FOR INTERNATIONAL COMMUNICATION AT RAJAMANGALA UNIVERSITY OF TECHNOLOGY LANNA, TAK CAMPUS, THAILAND
Type of the Article	Complete Book

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimumof 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The modern business world is characterised by a terrain that is marked by severe competition. When organisations are looking to hire new employees, they make it a priority to hire people who have the necessary skills and experience. Individuals who display a varied array of abilities and personal traits, in addition to technical expertise that is important to the role, are increasingly being prioritised by modern businesses in order to maintain a competitive edge. According to a number of companies, the ability to communicate effectively is one of the most desirable character traits. During the interview process, employers have begun to evaluate candidates' interpersonal communication skills. Job chances within a company can be hindered by factors such as a lack of self-assurance and an insufficient command of the English language. Graduates need to be able to improve their hard talents by combining soft skills in order to get a competitive advantage in the employment market	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Because the title of the article is somewhat lengthy, I would appreciate it if you could consider shortening it.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract of the article is somewhat extensive.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	The arrangement of the sections and the structure of the document are appropriate.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimumof 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The text in question is up to par with regard to the level of scientific precision that it possesses. For the purpose of analysing all of the factors that are affecting, it ought to make use of a rubric score.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	The references are adequate; nevertheless, they are not recent; you should make an effort to reference within the past five years.	

Review Form2

Minor REVISION comments	It is appropriate for scholarly communications that the article is written in English.	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Supparang Ruangvanich
Department, University & Country	Chandrakasem Rajabhat University, Thailand