

Review Form 2

Book Name:	ENGLISH ORAL COMMUNICATION ACHIEVEMENT OF THE BACHELOR OF ARTS STUDENTS MAJOR IN ENGLISH FOR INTERNATIONAL COMMUNICATION AT RAJAMANGALA UNIVERSITY OF TECHNOLOGY LANNA, TAK CAMPUS, THAILAND
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BPR_2638
Title of the Manuscript:	ENGLISH ORAL COMMUNICATION ACHIEVEMENT OF THE BACHELOR OF ARTS STUDENTS MAJOR IN ENGLISH FOR INTERNATIONAL COMMUNICATION AT RAJAMANGALA UNIVERSITY OF TECHNOLOGY LANNA, TAK CAMPUS, THAILAND
Type of the Article	Complete Book

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The needs and wants of English in workplaces cannot meet the demands for English used in the workplace. As such, this Study tackles the poor oral communication skills among unemployable graduates.Because producing employable university graduates is an important agenda for many higher learning institutions in ASEAN countries, the EIC program is offered to produce competent graduates dedicated to language and cultural awareness, and to train students who are well-prepared to move towards the international professional standards.This program provides the necessary language and cultural skills for students to enter, participate, and thrive in a number of English related fields of study and work where communicative competency in English is the key to academic and professional success. The program emphasizes effective communication skills, cultural awareness, and academic excellence.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	-	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This study is intended to give university graduates the idea on how address the needs of the students by aligning the offered programs with the factors that significantly hinder students learning especially in learning English as a second language.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	The researcher needs to add some recent references. All references should be checked in terms of the APA style and consistency.	

Review Form 2

Minor REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Editing is highly recommended.	
Optional/General comments	1. Lists of tables and figures should be each on a separate page. 2. Chapter 1 should be capitalized while the chapter title should be in upper or lower case; just be consistent. 3. The APA style is not used properly. 4. Consistency is highly recommended in writing and documentation. 5. What is stated under the section of Statement of the Problem is not a problem. Besides, what is stated in this section is the Research Questions. Correct accordingly, please. 6. The caption of Table 2 should be re-phrase and the statements in the five scales are with no subject. 7. Arbitrary is not a proper word. Re-phrase the table captions, please. 8. I suggest changing the Proposed Intervention Program section into a number of proposed topics for futher future studies.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s comment(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Nawal Fadhil Abbas
Department, University & Country	University of Baghdad, Iraq