

Review Form2

Book Name:	Current Research Progress in Agricultural Sciences
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BPR_2681
Title of the Manuscript:	Seasonal incidence of spotted pod borer (Marucavitrata F.) in Indian bean and correlation with meteorological aspects
Type of the Article	Book chapter

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript?	Yes, important for scientific community. Yes, like	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract of the article provides a good overview of the study.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	The manuscript is well-written and well-organized. The subsections are clear and concise, and the structure of the manuscript follows a standard scientific Book chepter format.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimumof3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Yes, the manuscript appears to be scientifically correct. It follows a standard scientific book chepter format, the results are presented clearly and objectively, and the conclusions are supported by the data.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form. =	Yes, Only one reference old	

Review Form2

Minor REVISION comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes, the language is suitable for scientific communication.	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s comment(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Ravi Kumar Rajak
Department, University & Country	Acharya Narendra Deva University of Agriculture & Technology, India