

Review Form2

Book Name:	Current Perspectives in Agriculture and Food Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BPR_2877
Title of the Manuscript:	Quantitative Evaluation of Nutritional fact of Pomegranate Fruit Extract and Comparison with Two Pomegranate Packed Juice Samples
Type of the Article	Book chapter

PART 1: Review Comments

<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s Feedback(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimumof 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This article reports that, fresh pomegranate fruit juice has better nutrient values than packed juice, and the minerals levels are comparatively high in fresh juice. So, that can be used for the extraction of bio active molecules from pomegranate fruit juice studies.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	I suggest, “Comparisonof Nutritional facts of fresh Pomegranate Fruit Extractwith Packed Pomegranate Juice Samples”	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The Abstract may include how this findings are helpful for future applications?	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	yes	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimumof 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The nutritional analyses especially minerals were found high on fresh juice. That can be used for further studies with the extraction of biomolecules or active compounds from pomegranate extracts. The findings can be useful for the mineral supplement production studies.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form. =	References can be recent. Some of the references are old.	

Review Form2

Minor REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Some of the grammatical mistakes can be corrected.	
Optional/General comments	Nil.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Sarojini. A
Department, University & Country	Tamil Nadu Dr. J .Jayalalithaa Fisheries University, India