

Review Form2

Book Name:	Medicine and Medical Research: New Perspectives
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BPR_2941
Title of the Manuscript:	Major Road Traffic Emergencies: The Role of Disaster Victim Identification
Type of the Article	Book chapter

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimumof 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This manuscript gives a broad idea of events that take place in MajorRoadTrafficEmergencies. In a few areas, the manuscript can be written in detail.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	In the manuscript little stress is mentioned to forensic odontologists compared to other specialist. MajorRoadTrafficEmergencies: The General Events and TheRoleof Forensic Odontologists in DisasterVictimIdentification	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	In the abstract, the contents discussed in the manuscript can be mentioned.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Ok. Follow the correct sequence.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimumof 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The manuscript written is simple and easy to understand. A few sections are mentioned pointwise, figures added were easy to understand.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form. =	OK	

Review Form2

Minor REVISION comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Ok.	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Bhavani Nagendra Sangala
Department, University & Country	Bharati Vidyapeeth, Dental College and Hospital, India