

BPI Review Form 070520

Book Name:	Recent Developments in Chemistry and Biochemistry Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BPR_756
Title of the Manuscript:	In vitro Potential of Aqueous Extracts of Plant Leaves to inhibit Pathogenic Fungi
Type of the Article	Book chapter

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(If agreed with the reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. Authors must write his/her feedback here)</i>
Is the manuscript important for the scientific community? Please write a few sentences explaining your answer	Based on the content of the manuscript, it is important for the scientific community as it explores the use of plant extracts as potential biopesticides to control plant pathogenic fungi. This research contributes to the growing interest in environmentally friendly alternatives to synthetic pesticides .	
Is the title of the article suitable? Do you have any alternative Title in your mind?	The title of the article, "Antifungal Activity of Various Plant Extracts on Pathogenic Fungi," is suitable as it clearly conveys the main focus of the study. An alternative title could be "Exploring the Antifungal Potential of Plant Extracts Against Pathogenic Fungi."	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? If your answer is No, please provide suggestions	The abstract of the article appears comprehensive as it provides a brief overview of the study objectives, methods, and key findings . However, it could be enhanced by including specific results or conclusions to give readers a clearer idea of the research outcomes.	
Do you think the English quality of the article is suitable for scholarly communications? If your answer is No, please provide suggestions	In terms of English quality, the manuscript be suitable for scholarly communications as it effectively presents the research methodology and results. However, may there for improvement in terms of clarity and precision in certain sections. A section that could benefit from improved clarity is the description of the results obtained with the different plant extracts regarding the inhibition of growth of pathogenic fungi. Providing more precise details on how each extract performed at different concentrations and in relation to different fungal species could make this section more understandable and informative for readers.	
Please provide your comments regarding the appropriateness of different sections of the manuscript.	The different sections of the manuscript, such as the introduction, materials and methods, results, and references, appear appropriate and well-structured for a scientific research paper.	
Do you think that the references in the manuscript are proper, recent and sufficient? If you have any suggestions, please write here.	The references in the manuscript seem proper and relevant to the study, covering a range of research articles on the antifungal activity of plant extracts , , . To enhance the manuscript, it could benefit from including more recent references to strengthen the literature review and support the findings	

BPI Review Form 070520

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Erisnaldo Francisco Reis
Department, University & Country	UNIFAVENI, Brazil