

BPI Review Form 070520

Book Name:	Research Advances in Environment, Geography and Earth Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BPR_1060
Title of the Manuscript:	CITY-LEVEL ADULT STROKE PREVALENCE IN RELATION TO REMOTE SENSING DERIVED PM2.5 ADJUSTING FOR UNHEALTHY BEHAVIORS AND MEDICAL RISK FACTORS
Type of the Article	Book chapter

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (If agreed with the reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. Authors must write his/her feedback here)
Is the manuscript important for the scientific community? Please write a few sentences explaining your answer	Yes. This paper gives evidence of raised stroke prevalence risk in high PM _{2.5} areas would support targeting of policy interventions on such areas to reduce pollution levels and protect human health.	
Is the title of the article suitable? Do you have any alternative Title in your mind?	Yes	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? If your answer is No, please provide suggestions	Yes	
Do you think the English quality of the article is suitable for scholarly communications? If your answer is No, please provide suggestions	Yes	
Please provide your comments regarding the appropriateness of different sections of the manuscript.	1. Author should add some line about the PM2.5 in the introduction. Authors can follow this paper- : Roy BC, Chakraborty S, Roy A, Dhar P (2018) Air Pollution Report of Agartala city Tripura, India. J Environ Pollut Control 1(2): 201 2. All size of the particulate matter should be written in subscript e.g. PM_{2.5}. Please replace all PM2.5 as PM_{2.5}. 3. R-squared = R², all R2 written by the author in the manuscript should be replaced by R² 4. Author should repress "p" early in the 3.2 5. All lines should be justified. Fig.3 6. All the symbols should be represent in bracket along with the name. like; lambda (λ), alpha (α) etc. 7. Give numbers in the references and place the nuber in the main manuscript with proper sequence. Author must include some related refencres.	
Do you think that the references in the manuscript are proper, recent and sufficient? If you have any suggestions, please write here.	No, Author should increase the references.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Bilash Chandra Roy
Department, University & Country	ICFAI University, India