

Review Form2

Book Name:	Research Advances in Environment, Geography and Earth Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BPR_1909
Title of the Manuscript:	Closer Look at the Contracting Solar Nebula
Type of the Article	Book chapter

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s Feedback(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write few sentences regarding the importance this manuscript for scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? Minimum 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	he title promises insights into the dynamic processes and conditions within the nebula that eventually led to the birth of our sun and its planetary system.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	It will be better if the author rewrite the abstract again.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes	
Please write few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound?Minimum 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The manuscript "Closer Look at the Contracting Solar Nebula" is scientifically robust and technically sound due to its comprehensive analysis of the physical and chemical processes occurring during the solar nebula's contraction phase. It utilizes advanced observational data and state-of-the-art modeling techniques to provide accurate simulations and predictions. The authors have meticulously validated their findings against empirical evidence from meteoritic studies and astronomical observations, ensuring the reliability and relevance of their conclusions.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. :	The authors will add some more works from 2012 onwards. It will be good if he looks 2020-2024 works	

Review Form2

Minor REVISION comments Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes	
Optional/General comments	The author should look the comments I put in the document	

PART 2:

	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s comment(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Belay Sitotaw Goshu
Department, University & Country	Dire Dawa University, Ethiopia