

Review Form2

Book Name:	Innovations in Biological Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BPR_1983
Title of the Manuscript:	Piper betle L. and Psidium guajava L. in oral health maintenance
Type of the Article	Book chapter

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimumof 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Yes this manuscript is scientific community	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The title of the article is not suitable for manuscript	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	No the abstract was not brief for the results most of them general topic related	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimumof 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	I felt this article is review article. All results donot clear for research.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form. =	Reference is sufficient and recent Reference should be add	

[Review Form2](#)

Minor REVISION comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Improve the english	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	S. Uma Rajeswari
Department, University & Country	Paumpon Muthuramalinga Thevar College, India