

Review Form2

Book Name:	Innovations in Biological Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BPR_2044
Title of the Manuscript:	PISCICIDAL ACTIVITY AGAINST FRESHWATER FISH IN LABORATORY AND CEMENT PLASTERING POND CONDITION
Type of the Article	Book chapter

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimumof 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This studyrepresents the toxic effect of Thevetiaperuviana plant latex on freshwater fish like Labeorohita in laboratory condition and cement pond condition. The study demonstrate the toxicity level at which fish shows its behavioural changes. It is important for scientific community as it will give idea of usage of Thevetiaperuviana plant latex in aquaculture: a) the dose at whichThevetiaperuviana plant latex can be used as organic piscicide. b) the level at which its contamination in aquaculture water cause toxicity against the freshwater fish.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The title of the article will be more specific if its rewritten as - PISCICIDAL ACTIVITY OF THEVETIA PERUVIANA LATEX AGAINST FRESHWATER FISH IN LABORATORY AND CEMENT PLASTERING POND CONDITIONS.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Yes, the abstract of the article is comprehensive and specified. However, I have some suggestions: 1. 'Thevetiaperuviana plant decrease from 3.62 mgL-1 (24h) > 2.69 mgL-1 (48h) in laboratory conditions and 7.86 mgL-1 (24h) > 7.24 mgL-1 (48h) in cement plastering pond condition against fish.' – Please rewrite this sentence. 2. 'Thevetiaperuviana medicinal plant is used as a better cathartic, febrifuge useful in different kinds of intermittent fever while the latex of this plant is used in teeth cavities for relief from toothache.' - There is no need to write the importance of latex for human purpose in the abstract. It may be added in Introduction section.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes, subsections and structure of the manuscript are appropriate.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimumof 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. However, in materials and method section, procedure for collection of latex can be added.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Yes, the references are sufficient and recent.	

Review Form2

:		
Minor REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The language/English quality of this article needs improvement to make it suitable for scholarly communications.	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Sushree Sangeeta Dey
Department, University & Country	ICAR-Central Institute of Fisheries Education, India