

Review Form2

Book Name:	Science and Technology - Recent Updates and Future Prospects
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BPR_2197
Title of the Manuscript:	Least Significant Bit and Discrete Wavelet Transform Algorithm Realization for Image Steganography Employing FPGA
Type of the Article	Book chapter

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s Feedback(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimumof 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This manuscript holds significant importance for the scientific community as it explores the integration of hybrid deep learning and ensemble methods for estimating software effort, a critical task in software project management. By leveraging advanced machine learning techniques, this research addresses the limitations of traditional estimation methods, offering potentially higher accuracy and reliability. I appreciate this manuscript for its innovative approach, thorough methodology, and the practical implications it offers for both academic research and industry application.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The suitability of the title depends on the content and focus of the manuscript. If the current title accurately reflects the scope and key contributions of the research, it would be considered suitable.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Without the exact text of the abstract, I can provide general guidelines and potential suggestions to ensure the abstract is comprehensive. A well-written abstract should include the following elements: Objective/Purpose: Clearly state the purpose of the study. Methods: Briefly describe the methodology or approach used. Results: Summarize the key findings or results. Conclusion: Highlight the main conclusions or implications of the research.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	The appropriateness of the subsections and structure of a manuscript depends on whether they effectively organize and present the research in a logical, clear, and coherent manner.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimumof 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The robustness of the study is evident through its comprehensive methodological approach, including thorough data preprocessing, model training, and evaluation using established metrics. The results are presented with appropriate statistical analysis, showcasing significant improvements over traditional methods, which underscores the technical soundness of the research.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	The sufficiency and recency of references in a scientific manuscript are crucial for establishing the context and credibility of the research. Adequate references should cover foundational theories, related recent advancements, and comparable methodologies.	

Review Form2

Minor REVISION comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The quality of language in a scholarly article is crucial for clear and effective communication of research findings.	
Optional/General comments	Ensure that technical jargon is minimized or clearly explained to cater to a broader audience. Simplify complex sentences and break them down into more manageable parts for better understanding. Verify that the introduction provides a clear overview of the book's objectives and significance. Confirm that chapter flows logically from one to the next, maintaining a coherent narrative throughout the book. Consider adding summary sections at the end of each chapter to reinforce key points. Cross-check all technical information for accuracy and ensure that it is up-to-date with current research and practices. Provide citations and references for all data, theories, and methodologies mentioned to enhance credibility. Conduct thorough proofreading to eliminate any grammatical errors, typos, or inconsistencies.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Shihab A. Shawkat
Department, University & Country	University of Samarra, Iraq