

Review Form 2

Book Name:	Innovations in Biological Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BPR_2266
Title of the Manuscript:	Experimental Studies on Hamster mice as a Paratenic host of Spirometra spargana
Type of the Article	Book chapter

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	I like this simple study because eventhough the technique is not new, but the result give new information with the approach of evidence based study/research. Hamster mice is actually a fine laboratory model for a second intermediate host in the life cycle of Spirometra species; with combination of environmental sample of parasite obtained from frog that came from rice fields, and the experiment conducted in the laboratory, the author showed us the possibilities and opportunity for conducting combined type research	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes it is	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Yes it is. But please for the keyword, use other words than the ones that already used in the title	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes they are	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Combining field study with experimental study, especially in the ffort to laboratorally made a paratenic second intermediate host model, once again showed us how sharp research question implemented in a fine methodology of research. The result give new and fresh important information.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form. :-	Unfortunately, the references is limited and old. Please add and change with the newer ones	

Review Form 2

Minor REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes it is	
Optional/General comments	change the keywords Add and change the references (minimum 20 references)	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Forman Erwin Siagian
Department, University & Country	Universitas Kristen, Indonesia