

Review Form2

Book Name:	Research Advances in Environment, Geography and Earth Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BPR_2376
Title of the Manuscript:	Influence of the Water Content of Foreshore Sediments in the Tidal Morphological Construction Process: Coarse Sand and Fine Sand Facies in Port-bouët and Assouinde Coastal Sectors Case, Cote-d'ivoire
Type of the Article	Book chapter

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimumof 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The Integrated Coastal Zone Management is considered the major toolimplementing sustainable development in coastal areas.In Port-bouët and Assouinde Coastal Sectors, the coast is a major strategicasstet in the socio-economic and human development.understanding the mechanisms of erosion of sandy coasts allows us to anticipate policies and strategies for maintaining the coast.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes, but too long. I suggest: "Influence of the Water Content of Foreshore Sediments in the Tidal Morphological Construction Process in Port-bouët and Assouinde Coastal Sectors Case, Cote-d'ivoire"	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract of the article is comprehensive.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	The content of the manuscript is organized logically and is understandable to the reader. The structure of the body of the article shows a logical progression. The method followed makes it possible to explain in detail the main elements of the research.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimumof 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The manuscript is organized logically and is understandable to the reader. The structure of the body of the article shows a logical progression. The method followed makes it possible to explain in detail the main elements of the research.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	References are sufficient and recent.	
Minor REVISION comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The English quality of the article is suitable for scholarly communications.	
Optional/Generalcomments	It would be better to provide a localisation map of the study area.	

Review Form2

PART 2:

	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Mohammed Elyaagoubi
Department, University & Country	Morocco