

Review Form2

Book Name:	Research Advances in Environment, Geography and Earth Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BPR_2395
Title of the Manuscript:	Evaluating Willingness to Sell Vegetation in the White Volta Basin in Northern Ghana
Type of the Article	Book chapter

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimumof 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The manuscript is important for the scientific community because it provides knowledge on the concept of ecosystem services especially on the economic concepts (monetary value) attached to vegetation by rural community members. I like the use of Participatory RuralAppraisals tools in the research as well as the Random Utility Theoy (RUT) applied.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes, the title is suitable for manuscript.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract of the article is okay. However, it could also include conclusion and recommendation.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	The subsections as well as the structure of the manuscript is appropriate.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimumof 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The manuscript has detailed the study area and its characteristics, how data was collected using different methods of data collection, how data was analysed step by step and its presentation by use of tables and figures. In addition the manuscript has done discussion of results comparing the current with previous research work, making interpretations and lastly conclusions.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form. :-	The references are sufficient though not very recent.	

Review Form2

Minor REVISION comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The language/English quality of the article is suitable for scholarly communication.	
Optional/General comments	The manuscript is generally good.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Godhard Muiruri Kariuki
Department, University & Country	Kenya