

Review Form2

Book Name:	Research Advances in Environment, Geography and Earth Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BPR_2395
Title of the Manuscript:	Evaluating Willingness to Sell Vegetation in the White Volta Basin in Northern Ghana
Type of the Article	Book chapter

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimumof 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This manuscript on evaluating the willingness of rural communities to sell vegetation in the White Volta Basin holds significance for the scientific community due to its practical application of economic principles to assess the value of ecosystem services. The study sheds light on the nuanced relationship between human perceptions and environmental sustainability, offering valuable insights for policymakers and conservationists. The comprehensive methodology employed, including the use of Participatory Rural Appraisal tools and Random Utility Theory, adds rigor to the research findings. However, the abstract could benefit from clearer articulation of the study's broader implications and recommendations for future research and practical interventions.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The title "Evaluating Willingness to Sell Vegetation in the White Volta Basin in Northern Ghana" is descriptive and captures the essence of the study. However, it could be enhanced to be more engaging or specific. Here are a few alternative title suggestions: "Assessing the Economic Value of Vegetation in Northern Ghana's White Volta Basin: A Community Perspective" "Unveiling the Monetary Worth of Vegetation: A Study in the White Volta Basin, Northern Ghana" "Understanding Community Perceptions: Valuing Vegetation in the White Volta Basin, Ghana" "Economic Valuation of Ecosystem Services: A Case Study of Vegetation in Northern Ghana's White Volta Basin"	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	-Begin the abstract with a concise introduction that clearly states the purpose of the study and its significance. For example, start with a sentence that succinctly outlines the aim of evaluating the willingness of rural communities to sell vegetation in the White Volta Basin. -Clearly state the key findings of the study in the abstract. Mention the significant factors influencing the willingness to sell vegetation and any notable trends observed across different landscapes.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	The subsections are appropriate	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimumof 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This manuscript appears to be scientifically robust and technically sound due to several key factors. The incorporation of Participatory Rural Appraisal tools and the application of Random Utility Theory indicate a methodologically rigorous approach to data collection and analysis. The use of contingent valuation, a well-established economic technique, strengthens the study's foundation for valuing non-market resources like vegetation. Additionally, the	

Review Form2

	significant factors identified in the willingness to sell vegetation, such as native status, sex, age, education, and household head, underscore the thoroughness of the research in capturing diverse community perspectives.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	the references sufficient and recent	
Minor REVISION comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Kunedzimwe Francisca
Department, University & Country	Chinhoyi University of Technology, Zimbabwe