

[ReviewForm2](#)

BookName:	InnovationsinBiologicalScience
ManuscriptNumber:	Ms_BPR_2423
TitleoftheManuscript:	Chromatindiminutionincopepodsasatoolfor the regulationofrecombinationprocessrate,reductionofnon-codingDNA,speciation and genome evolution
TypeoftheArticle	BookChapter

PART1:ReviewComments

CompulsoryREVISIONcomments	Reviewer’scomment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part inthemanuscript.Itismandatorythatauthorsshouldwritehis/herfeedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why doyoulike(ordislike)thismanuscript?Aminimumof 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	1. The study is of academic interest. Moreover, this type of work will also solve some biological problems related to genome evolution. 2. TheauthorsstatedthebiologicalroleofchromatindiminutionwiththeC-valueenigma. The role of chromatin diminution in genome reduction and reduction in the frequency of recombination has also been discussed in the book chapter. 3. The authors stated the role of non-coding or selfish DNA in adaptation and genome evolution, as originally advocated by Ohno (1972) and Dawkins (1976). This book chapteriscertainlyinteresting.Moreover,Hsu's(1992)commentsonnon-codingDNA as a protective device for the functional gene are also mentioned.	
Isthetitleofthearticlesuitable? (Ifnotpleasesuggestanalternativetitle)	Yes	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggesttheaddition(ordelation)ofsomepointsinthis section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract is comprehensive.	
Aresubsectionsandstructureofthemanuscript appropriate?	No.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctnessofthismanuscript.Whydoyouthinkthat thismanuscriptisscientificallyrobustandtechnically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required forthis part.	Manuscript,particularlytheabstract,Introduction,majorsub-headings,andconclusion,all figures given in the manuscript are should be thoroughly modified.	
Arethereferencessufficientandrecent?Ifyouhave suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form. -	-	

ReviewForm2

MinorREVISIONcomments Isthelanguage/Englishqualityofthearticlesuitable for scholarly communications?		
Optional/Generalcomments	The manuscript could be more suitable and well-shaped in terms of abstract, Introduction, discussion, and conclusion. Acceptedaftersignificantchange.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s comment(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Samarendra Nath Banerjee
Department, University & Country	Rammohan College, India