

Review Form2

Book Name:	Innovations in Biological Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BPR_2423
Title of the Manuscript:	Chromatin diminution in copepods as a tool for the regulation of recombination process rate, reduction of non-coding DNA, speciation and genome evolution
Type of the Article	Book Chapter

PART 1: Review Comments

<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimumof 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Manuscript well designed and narrated Some more relevant current information need to be added Addresses to readers of computational and bioinformatics approaches	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Okay	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	No suggestion	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Okay	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimumof 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Some more relevant current information need to be added like diminution to reduction of non-coding DNA using ensembles' algorithm with hamming distances also such relevant algorithm to processing Addresses to readers of computational and bioinformatics approach es	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form. :-	No issue	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	To be checked with grammer experts	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	S. S. Patil
Department, University & Country	India