

Review Form2

Book Name:	Innovations in Biological Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BPR_2459
Title of the Manuscript:	Molecular Pathways of and the Future Therapeutic Implications for Atopic Dermatitis: AnOverview
Type of the Article	Book chapter

PART 1: Review Comments

<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimumof 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The chapter provides an in-depth exploration of molecular biology behind a common disease, Atopic Dermatitis or AD, mainly focusing on the most promising biological therapies as treatment options for AD.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Only Immunomodulation Therapy has been explained no other future therapeutic implications have been tried to include in this manuscript. So, in my opinion, the title must require a re-evaluation.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The systemic biological agents are potentially great promise for the treatment of AD if they can offer the following advantages: low toxicity, good efficacy, improved patient compliance via given weekly/biweekly/and even monthly administration, reduction of disease activity, relapse prevention, etc. sentence repeated twice in your manuscript exactly same in abstract and conclusion.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimumof 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Overall, the chapter is well-written with an exact, informative scientific tone and offers valuable insights into the molecular mechanism and possible new developments in biological therapeutics suitable for AD. With a very relevant and illustrative study review, this manuscript presents many priceless resources in one frame for future research.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Yes	

Review Form2

Minor REVISION comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	As an ordinary person, there are many terms difficult to understand, and few advanced phrases are quite confusing to comprehend, even in the scientific community too.	
Optional/General comments	While discussing in detail many newly marketed advanced drugs like monoclonal antibodies and systemic PPAR-y agonists in Targeted Immunomodulating Therapy subtopics, you didn't tell any single adverse effect in clinical trial outcomes reported with these medicines in your manuscript.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Arijit Ash
Department, University & Country	Mankar Institute of Education and Research of Pharmacy Science, India