

Review Form2

Book Name:	Scientific Research, New Technologies and Applications
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BPR_2504
Title of the Manuscript:	Carbon Responsible Signal Transaction in Molecular Nature of Sensory Protein: A Vision for Robot
Type of the Article	Book chapter

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimumof 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The manuscript details the development of proton signalling and core formation focused on sensory protein through photon capturing. However, unfortunately the content lacks the flow and disorientation of information.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Title and content is not conveyed properly. Due to confused organization of body of the work better alternative title is also not possible to provide.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Significant revision required such as Background on Robotic vision, methodology adopted to obtain better signal transduction, importance of the target sensory protein, major research result, and summary of the work in one line needs to be done.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	No,addition of subsections and schematic diagrams are required for better reading and understanding.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimumof 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Exploration of cellular structure using modern analytical tools are emerging trend to solve various health issues. I believe authors wanted to review the carbon pattern frame work model to trace the molecular structure of sensory protein through integrated robotic vision. But unfortunately reviewer is puzzled of various disorientation.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Majority of the cited papers are from one research group and that too not properly discussed in the chapter scientific content. Therefore, rigorous revision is required.	

Review Form2

Minor REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Significant revision is desired in the language correction, in addition to typo errors.	
Optional/General comments	Abbreviations of many words are not presented in the chapter during first appearance. For instance, COD, ICOD, SRI, RXR, etc.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Murugan Veerapandian
Department, University & Country	CSIR-Central Electrochemical Research Institute, India