

Review Form2

Book Name:	Medicine and Medical Research: New Perspectives
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BPR_2514
Title of the Manuscript:	Anogenital System and HPV-Related Lesions in Women: Anatomy, Pathophysiology, and Screening
Type of the Article	Book Chapter

PART 1: Review Comments

<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s Feedback(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimumof 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	HPV plays a crucial role in the development of various types of cancers in women. In depth understanding of woman anatomical aspects along with the pathophysiology of HPV helps to improve screening and vaccination programs to reduce morbidity rates	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Yes	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimumof 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	As mentioned in manuscript, globally, the prevalence of HPV infection among individuals with normal cervical cytology is estimated to be 11.7% and the World Health Organization (WHO) has published an HPV elimination program aiming for 2030! Present chapter, helps to incline more towards primary preventive measures of anogenital cancer and HPV infections reductions	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form. =	Yes	

Review Form2

Minor REVISION comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes	
Optional/General comments	No	

PART 2:

	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s comment(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Manisha N Shah
Department, University & Country	Sarvajanik University, India