

Review Form 2

Book Name:	Medicine and Medical Research: New Perspectives
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BPR_2592
Title of the Manuscript:	Future Applications of Intravesical SCL Gene Transfer for the Treatment of Diabetic Neurogenic Bladder
Type of the Article	Book chapter

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This chapter is very interesting as it shows potential to treat a complication that burdens a lot of patients and many times it's treatment is empirical and not very successful. I liked the novelty of the idea, the way that the principle is explained and the way it was argued with examples from literature.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The title is suitable	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract is comprehensive, and it works very well as a short synopsis to this chapter.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	The manuscript is well structured, it presents an introduction to show the main topics of the chapter, then it presents the hypothesis (basically why the authors think that their method of treatment will work) and then there is a discussion using arguments from published works from reputed authors.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	As stated before, the chapter uses studies and papers that were published in very well regarded peer-reviewed journals, so the scientific correctness is sound. The hypothesis is presented in a logical and simple fashion, si it is easy to understand and uses information from the latest research. The discussions on the subjects also uses examples from already proved concepts (for example from intravesical gene transfer therapy) in order to explain their proof of concept. In my opinion for a “Future applications” type of chapter, this works perfectly.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	The refecerences are sufficient, but I would've liked to see more references from newer research. For example, this article https://e-century.us/files/iicem/13/5/iicem0096200.pdf treats a similar subject.	

Review Form 2

Minor REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The english is good enough, I made some modifications on the manuscript itself in order to help understand where the problems were. (Just some minor modifications, for a better choice of words and a better understanding)	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	George-Daniel Radavoi
Department, University & Country	“Prof. Dr. Theodor Burghele” Clinical Hospital, “Carol Davila” University of Medicine and Pharmacy, Romania